

	Appendix 3a to Professorship policy VU: Specimen factsheet for the nomination of an (endowed) professor in the science/medical domain ¹
	<i>Submit this form as an appendix to the (endowed) professorship nomination file.</i>

Name, initials	<input type="text"/>		
Date of birth	<input type="text"/>	Gender	<input type="radio"/> male <input type="radio"/> female
Faculty/OZI	<input type="text"/>		
Department	<input type="text"/>		
Discipline	<input type="text"/>		

Instructions and information

- * Evaluation criteria apply to professors and endowed professors.
- * Scores are compared on the basis of the faculty evaluation criteria, by reference to the benchmark.
- * Provide information to explain and facilitate interpretation of the scores where relevant.
- * Candidate's scores to be entered in the 'Score' column.
- * Norms defined by the faculty to be entered in the 'Norm' column. Therefore, not all fields will be completed,, but only those for which a norm has been defined.

1. Education

Evaluation criterion: demonstrable performance in the field of education	Score	Norm
Average number of hours of lectures per year over the last three years	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Average number of hours of work group/practical supervision/fieldwork over the last three years	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Average number of educational assessments (of students) over the last three years ²	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Bachelor's theses supervised (total)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of Master's theses supervised (total)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of posts held on committees responsible for educational development and innovation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of years of innovative curriculum work in a managerial role	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>		

Supporting information and explanatory notes

2. Research

2.1 Publications and citations

Evaluation criterion: doctorate

Thesis	title	
	year	
	(co)promotors	
	university	

Evaluation criterion: relevant academic publications (indicate in Notes section which are (most) relevant)	Score	Norm
Number of indexed ³ academic articles ⁴ of which candidate is main author		
Number of indexed academic articles (total)		
Number of peer-reviewed academic articles		
Number of non-peer-reviewed academic articles		
Number of academic books and monographs (not dissertations and textbooks)		
Number of book chapters		
Number of citations as main author (according to Web of Science)		
Number of citations as main author (according to Google Scholar)		
Number of citations (total) (according to Web of Science)		
Number of citations (total) (according to Google Scholar)		
Hirsch index (according to Web of Science)		
Hirsch index (according to Google Scholar)		
Hirsch index divided by the number of years' academic work		

2.2 Funding secured (in k€ total and per grant (top 3))

Evaluation criterion: demonstrable performance in securing external funding

Total funding secured from government agencies in k€ (NWO, ZonMw, KP7, ERC etc)	
1.	
2.	
3.	

Total contract funding secured in k€ (other external research funding)	
1.	
2.	
3.	

2.3 Doctorate study supervision		
Evaluation criterion: demonstrable experience in the field of doctorate study supervision	Score	Norm
Number of doctorates supervised to conclusion		
Number of doctorates currently being supervised		

2.4 Stature	Score	Norm
Number of grant committee posts held		
Number of keynote speaker appearances at / invitations to (inter)national congresses		
Number of appearances at / invitations to (inter)national congresses		
Number of presentations made at (inter)national congresses (calls for papers)		
Number of editorial board / referee posts for (inter)national periodicals (journals, book series etc)		
Number of academic society board posts held		
Relevant academic awards		

Supporting information and explanatory notes

3. Current span of control		
Evaluation criteria: experience supervising research groups	Score	Norm
Number of academic staff supervised	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of support staff supervised	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

4. Social relevance

4.1 Economic value (valorization)	Score	Norm
Number of posts held on advisory committees in the commercial domain	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of patents, intellectual property sales	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Involvement in 'spin-off' or 'start-up' companies	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

4.2 Socio-cultural value	Score	Norm
Number of academic publications ⁵	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Number of lay publications ⁶	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Supporting information and explanatory notes

5. Role as a professional

Supporting information and explanatory notes

Notes

1. All information must be verifiable from the nomination file.
2. Explain the quantification method where appropriate.
3. Included in the Science, Social Science or Arts and Humanities index.
4. Paper or electronic media.
5. Including: articles in journals, books, book sections, annotations, congress contributions, protocols, reports (source: VSNU).
6. Including: books, book sections, contributions to newspapers or journals, television or radio appearances, book reviews (source: VSNU).